

IN THE CLAIMS:

The current claims follow. For claims not marked as amended in this response, any difference in the claims below and the previous state of the claims is unintentional and in the nature of a typographical error.

1. (Currently Amended) An apparatus for executing at least one single program multiple data (SPMD) program, said apparatus comprising:

a micro single instruction multiple data (SIMD) unit associated with a microprocessor; and
a job buffer having an output coupled to an input of said micro SIMD unit,

wherein said job buffer dynamically bundles a plurality of jobs into a task based on ~~a control flow~~ an equivalence of a job status of said jobs and allocates said task to said micro SIMD unit, said job status comprising a program counter value and a loop-counter list ~~control flow equivalence including concurrent execution of an instruction stream by said plurality of jobs~~, and wherein a job is a combination of a program and an input data-set.

2. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 1 wherein said micro SIMD unit is capable of sending job status information to said job buffer.

3. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 1 wherein said at least one SPMD program comprises a plurality of input data streams having moderate diversification of control flows.

4. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 3 wherein said apparatus executes said at least one SPMD program once for each input data stream of said plurality of input data streams.

5. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 4 wherein said apparatus generates an instruction stream for each input data stream of said plurality of input data streams.

6. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 3 wherein said apparatus executes a plurality of SPMD programs and wherein each SPMD program of said plurality of SPMD programs is executed on a number of input data streams.

7. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 6 wherein said number of input data streams is greater than a program granularity threshold.

8. (Canceled).

9. (Previously Presented) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 1 wherein said apparatus performs job clustering to form a job bundle in which each job in said job bundle has an equivalent control flow.

10. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 9 wherein said apparatus performs said job clustering based on a job processing status of said jobs in said job bundle.

11. (Previously Presented) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 1 wherein said apparatus forces a task to terminate at a point where a job control path might fork by placing a code-stop in said task.

12. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 11 wherein said apparatus minimizes a required number of code-stops to be placed in said task by excluding from code-stop placement each control flow statements that is equivalent to a select instruction.

13. (Original) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 9 wherein said apparatus maximizes a size of a job cluster by selecting tasks for execution in which a job processing status of each of said tasks is complete.

14. (Previously Presented) The apparatus as set forth in Claim 1 wherein said apparatus executes a data loading phase for a task before said apparatus executes a task execution phase for said task.

15. (Currently Amended) A method for executing at least one single program multiple data (SPMD) program, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a micro single instruction multiple data (SIMD) unit associated with a microprocessor;

providing a job buffer having an output coupled to an input of said micro SIMD unit; and
dynamically bundling a plurality of jobs into a task based on ~~a control flow~~ an equivalence of
a job status of said jobs and allocating said task to said micro SIMD unit in said job buffer, said job
status comprising a program counter value and a loop-counter list ~~control flow equivalence including~~
~~concurrent execution of an instruction stream by said plurality of jobs~~, and wherein a job is a
combination of a program and an input data-set.

16. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 15 further comprising the step of:
sending job status information from said SIMD unit to said job buffer.

17. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 15 wherein said at least one SPMD
program comprises a plurality of input data streams having moderate diversification of control flows.

18. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 17 further comprising the step of:
executing said at least one SPMD program once for each input data stream of said plurality of
input data streams.

19. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 18 further comprising the step of:
generating an instruction stream for each input data stream of said plurality of input data
streams.

20. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 17 further comprising the steps of:

executing a plurality of SPMD programs; and

executing each SPMD program of said plurality of SPMD programs on a number of input data streams.

21. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 20 wherein said number of input data streams is greater than a program granularity threshold.

22. (Canceled).

23. (Previously Presented) The method as set forth in Claim 15 further comprising the step of:

performing job clustering to form a job bundle in which each job in said job bundle has an equivalent control flow.

24. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 23 further comprising the step of:

performing said job clustering based on a job processing status of said jobs in said job bundle.

25. (Previously Presented) The method as set forth in Claim 15 further comprising the step of:

forcing a task to terminate at a point where a job control path might fork by placing a code-stop in said task.

26. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 25 further comprising the step of:
minimizing a required number of code-stops to be placed in said task by excluding from code-stop placement each control flow statements that is equivalent to a select instruction.

27. (Original) The method as set forth in Claim 23 further comprising the step of:
maximizing a size of a job cluster by selecting tasks for execution in which a job processing status of each of said tasks is complete.

28. (Previously Presented) The method as set forth in Claim 15 further comprising the step of:

executing a data loading phase for a task before executing a task execution phase for said task.